

DISSENTING OPINION OF JUDGE WEERAMANTRY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PRELIMINARY OBSERVATIONS ON THE OPINION OF THE COURT

- (a) Reasons for dissent
- (b) The positive aspects of the Court's Opinion
- (c) Particular comments on the final paragraph
 - (i) Paragraph 2 B — (11 votes to 3)
 - (ii) Paragraph 2 E — (7 votes to 7. Casting vote in favour by the President)
 - (iii) Paragraph 2 A — (Unanimous)
 - (iv) Paragraph 2 C — (Unanimous)
 - (v) Paragraph 2 D — (Unanimous)
 - (vi) Paragraph 2 F — (Unanimous)
 - (vii) Paragraph 1 — (13 votes to 1)

I. INTRODUCTION

1. Fundamental importance of issue before the Court
2. Submissions to the Court
3. Some preliminary observations on the United Nations Charter
4. The law relevant to nuclear weapons
5. Introductory observations on humanitarian law
6. Linkage between humanitarian law and the realities of war
7. The limit situation created by nuclear weapons
8. Possession and use
9. Differing attitudes of States supporting legality
10. The importance of a clarification of the law

II. NATURE AND EFFECTS OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS

1. The nature of the nuclear weapon
2. Euphemisms concealing the realities of nuclear war
3. The effects of the nuclear weapon
 - (a) Damage to the environment and the ecosystem
 - (b) Damage to future generations
 - (c) Damage to civilian populations
 - (d) The nuclear winter
 - (e) Loss of life
 - (f) Medical effects of radiation
 - (g) Heat and blast
 - (h) Congenital deformities
 - (i) Transnational damage

- (j) Potential to destroy all civilization
 - (i) Social institutions
 - (ii) Economic structures
 - (iii) Cultural treasures
- (k) The electromagnetic pulse
- (l) Damage to nuclear reactors
- (m) Damage to food productivity
- (n) Multiple nuclear explosions resulting from self-defence
- (o) "The shadow of the mushroom cloud"
- 4. The uniqueness of nuclear weapons
- 5. The differences in scientific knowledge between the present time and 1945
- 6. Do Hiroshima and Nagasaki show that nuclear war is survivable?
- 7. A perspective from the past

III. HUMANITARIAN LAW

- 1. "Elementary considerations of humanity"
- 2. Multicultural background to the humanitarian laws of war
- 3. Outline of humanitarian law
- 4. Acceptance by States of the Martens Clause
- 5. "The dictates of public conscience"
- 6. Impact of the United Nations Charter and human rights on "considerations of humanity" and "dictates of public conscience"
- 7. The argument that "collateral damage" is unintended
- 8. Illegality exists independently of specific prohibitions
- 9. The "Lotus" decision
- 10. Specific rules of the humanitarian law of war
 - (a) The prohibition against causing unnecessary suffering
 - (b) The principle of proportionality
 - (c) The principle of discrimination
 - (d) Respect for non-belligerent States
 - (e) The prohibition against genocide
 - (f) The prohibition against environmental damage
 - (g) Human rights law
- 11. Juristic opinion
- 12. The 1925 Geneva Gas Protocol
 - (i) Is radiation poisonous?
 - (ii) Does radiation involve contact of the body with "materials"?
- 13. Article 23 (a) of the Hague Regulations

IV. SELF-DEFENCE

- 1. Unnecessary suffering
- 2. Proportionality/error
- 3. Discrimination
- 4. Non-belligerent States

5. Genocide
6. Environmental damage
7. Human rights

V. SOME GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

1. Two philosophical perspectives
2. The aims of war
3. The concept of a "threat of force" under the United Nations Charter
4. Equality in the texture of the laws of war
5. The logical contradiction of a dual régime in the laws of war

6. Nuclear decision-making

VI. THE ATTITUDE OF THE INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY TOWARDS NUCLEAR WEAPONS

1. The universality of the ultimate goal of complete elimination
2. Overwhelming majorities in support of total abolition
3. World public opinion
4. Current prohibitions
5. Partial bans
6. Who are the States most specially concerned?
7. Have States, by participating in regional treaties, recognized nuclear weapons as lawful?

VII. SOME SPECIAL ASPECTS

1. The Non-Proliferation Treaty
2. Deterrence
 - (i) Meaning of deterrence
 - (ii) Deterrence — from what?
 - (iii) The degrees of deterrence
 - (iv) Minimum deterrence
 - (v) The problem of credibility
 - (vi) Deterrence distinguished from possession
 - (vii) The legal problem of intention
 - (viii) The temptation to use the weapons maintained for deterrence
 - (ix) Deterrence and sovereign equality
 - (x) Conflict with the St. Petersburg principle
3. Reprisals
4. Internal wars
5. The doctrine of necessity
6. Limited or tactical or battlefield nuclear weapons

VIII. SOME ARGUMENTS AGAINST THE GRANT OF AN ADVISORY OPINION

1. The Advisory Opinion would be devoid of practical effects
2. Nuclear weapons have preserved world peace

IX. CONCLUSION

1. The task before the Court
2. The alternatives before humanity

APPENDIX (demonstrating danger to neutral States) Comparison of the effects of bombs