

# Contents

<i>List of Contributors</i>	xv
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xix
<i>Note from the Bench</i>	xxxv

<b>Introduction: The International Criminal Tribunals and the Judicial Development of International Criminal Law</b>	1
I. Introduction	1
II. Context and Rationale	2
III. Scope and Content	3
A. Sources of law and judicial interpretation	4
B. Definition of crimes	5
C. Forms of criminal liability	7
D. Fair trial rights and defences	9
E. Procedure	11
IV. Parting Remarks	12

## I. SOURCES OF LAW AND JUDICIAL INTERPRETATION

<b>1. Judicial Interpretation at the <i>Ad Hoc</i> Tribunals: Method from Chaos?</b>	17
I. Introduction	17
II. The Rules of the Game: The Laconic Statutes and Fidelity to Customary International Law	21
A. The drafting of the Statutes and the challenge facing the bench	21
B. Recourse to customary law: sculpture from the bench of the <i>ad hoc</i> Tribunals	26
III. Methods of Interpretation: Piecemeal Identification and Fractured Application	32
A. A sound interpretational foundation: the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties	32
B. The utilization of Articles 31 and 32 of the Vienna Convention: buttressing the creative enterprise	37
IV. Conclusion	43

2. General Principles of Law, Judicial Creativity, and the Development of International Criminal Law	45
I. Introduction	45
II. General Principles of Law and the Inherent Powers of the <i>Ad Hoc</i> Tribunals	47
III. General Principles and the Substantive Law	54
IV. General Principles of Law at the ICC: Limiting Judicial Creativity?	57
V. Conclusion	58
 II. DEFINITION OF CRIMES  	
3. Judicial Activism and the Crime of Genocide	63
I. Introduction	63
II. The <i>Eichmann</i> Trial: Customary Law and Universal Jurisdiction	64
III. <i>Akayesu</i> : 'Permanent and Stable Groups'	70
IV. The <i>Krstić</i> Dissent: Cultural Genocide and Ethnic Cleansing	74
V. Conclusion	77
4. Using Custom to Reconceptualize Crimes Against Humanity	80
I. Introduction	80
II. The Concept of Crimes Against Humanity	81
III. The Absence of the Armed Conflict Requirement	85
IV. The Policy Requirement	88
V. The <i>Civilian</i> Population	95
VI. Assessing the ICTY's Means and Methods	98
A. Identifying custom: inter-state international law versus international law of the community	99
B. Evaluating the identification of custom in relation to findings on crimes against humanity	101
VII. Conclusion	104
5. The Reinvention of War Crimes by the International Criminal Tribunals	106
I. Introduction	106
II. War Crimes in the Statutes of the <i>Ad Hoc</i> Tribunals	107
III. Judicial Creativity and the Law of War Crimes	111
A. Grave breaches	112
B. Violations of the laws and customs of war	116
(1) Internal armed conflicts	116
(2) Unenumerated war crimes	119
IV. Conclusion	126

6. Creating a Definition of Rape in International Law: The Contribution of the International Criminal Tribunals	129
I. Introduction	129
II. Identifying the Definition	131
III. The Question of Consent	140
IV. The Judicial Interpretation of Rape	149
V. Conclusion	155

### III. FORMS OF CRIMINAL LIABILITY

7. The <i>Ad Hoc</i> Tribunals and the Law of Command Responsibility: A Quiet Earthquake	159
I. Introduction	159
II. The Provisions of the ICTY and ICTR Statutes	161
III. The General Approach to Custom and Development	163
A. The <i>Hadžihasanović</i> decision	163
B. <i>Orić</i> and overruling	168
IV. The Nature of Command Responsibility	171
A. The nature of command responsibility at the end of the Second World War	171
B. The nature of command responsibility before the ICTY: early cases	172
C. <i>Hadžihasanović</i> : redux	174
D. The next steps	177
E. <i>Orić</i> : the deferred dénouement	179
V. Conclusion	183
8. Judicial Creativity and Joint Criminal Enterprise	184
I. Judicial Creativity	184
II. The Legal Milieu	187
III. Category III and the Problem of Intent	190
A. Intent was removed	192
(1) The law relating to accessories	192
(2) This conclusion is in keeping with the objects of the criminal law	193
B. The secondary offender shares the intent of the primary offender to commit the additional crime	194
C. Summary of the position in joint criminal enterprise	197
IV. Co-perpetratorship	198
A. A criticism of joint criminal enterprise	198
B. Control	198
C. Joint criminal enterprise and co-perpetratorship compared	199

V. Postscript	201
VI. Conclusion	202
9. Omission Liability at the International Criminal Tribunals—A Case for Reform	204
I. Introduction	204
II. Aiding and Abetting by Omission—an Incoherent Development	207
A. The elements of aiding and abetting	207
B. The mental elements of aiding and abetting	208
C. The physical elements of aiding and abetting	210
D. Aiding and abetting by omission	211
E. Presence at the scene as aiding and abetting by omission	212
F. Failure to act as aiding and abetting by omission	214
III. Aiding and Abetting by Omission—a Legal Fallacy?	216
A. Examples of omissions are in fact positive acts	217
B. No support for aiding and abetting by omission in customary international law	219
C. The only true form of omission liability established as custom in international criminal law is superior responsibility	222
D. No omission liability under the Rome Statute for the International Criminal Court	223
IV. Conclusion	224
IV. DEFENCES AND FAIR TRIAL RIGHTS	
10. Judicial Development of the Law of Defences by the International Criminal Tribunals	229
I. Introduction	229
II. The Principle of Legality: A Defence of Sorts	231
III. Factors Mitigating Punishment or Admissible Defences?	234
A. Obedience to superior orders	234
B. Duress	238
C. Mental incapacity and intoxication	241
IV. Admissible Defences and the Law of Armed Conflict	243
A. Self-defence	243
B. Reprisals and <i>tu quoque</i>	246
V. Conclusion	249
11. The Development of the Right to Self-Representation before the International Criminal Tribunals	252
I. Introduction	252

II.	Early ICTR Jurisprudence: The Enabling Provision of Article 20(4)(d) ICTR to Appoint Standby Counsel and Initial Restrictions of the Right to Self-Representation	253
III.	Self-Representation and the Role of <i>Amici Curiae</i>	254
IV.	A Trend towards the Assignment of Counsel	255
V.	Obstructionism and Standby Counsel: Assistance or Representation?	263
	A. Development of the role of standby counsel in the <i>Šešelj</i> case	263
	B. Obstructionism and the self-representing accused: guidance from the <i>Stanković</i> case	271
VI.	The Right to Self-Representation Upheld	273
VII.	Legal Aid and the Right to Self-Representation	280
VIII.	Conclusion	283
<b>12.</b>	<b>The Right to be Informed of the Nature and Cause of the Charges: A Potentially Formidable Jurisprudential Legacy</b>	<b>286</b>
I.	Introduction	286
II.	The Human Rights Standards and the <i>Ad Hoc</i> Tribunals' Approach	287
III.	The Development of the Jurisprudence	291
	A. Jurisprudential vacuum and deference to the disclosure of evidence	291
	B. Dominance of the prosecution's approach and limited defence success	296
	C. The beginnings of a new approach	300
	D. Current pleading requirements	302
IV.	Pleading of Essential Factual Ingredients and Modes of Criminal Responsibility	305
V.	Conclusion	308
<b>V. PROCEDURE</b>		
<b>13.</b>	<b>Procedural Lawmaking at the International Criminal Tribunals</b>	<b>315</b>
I.	Introduction	315
II.	A Short History of the ICTY's Procedural Law	316
III.	The Need for a Dynamic Procedural System	320
IV.	Judges as Lawmakers: Unacceptable Risk or the Only Feasible Alternative?	323
V.	An Unfortunate Procedural Creation: The Law regarding Detention on Remand	327
VI.	Conclusion: Lessons Learned	330

14. Trying Cases at the International Criminal Tribunals in the Absence of the Accused?	332
I. Introduction	332
II. Trials <i>in Absentia</i> and Substitute Proceedings	334
A. For and against trials <i>in absentia</i>	334
B. A controversial matter	335
C. The law of the ICTY and the ICTR	337
(1) Rules of procedure and evidence	337
(2) Trials <i>in absentia</i>	339
(3) Rule 61 proceedings	342
D. Approaches in national law	345
E. Approaches by international human rights bodies	348
III. Evaluation of ICTY and ICTR Practice	349
IV. Conclusion	351
15. The Judicial Role in the Definition and Implementation of the Completion Strategies of the International Criminal Tribunals	353
I. Introduction	353
II. The Origins and Evolution of the Completion Strategies: The Judges' Contribution	356
III. Rule 11 <i>bis</i> : The Far-reaching Case Referral Mechanism Created by the Judges	362
A. The purpose and intent of the Rule 11 <i>bis</i> referral mechanism	362
B. ICTY and ICTR Rule 11 <i>bis</i>	366
IV. ICTY Rule 28(A): A New Oversight Role for the Judges of the Yugoslavia Tribunal	374
V. Conclusion	381
<i>Index</i>	385